

STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
PROPERTY AND SPECIAL TAXES DEPARTMENT
450 N STREET, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
PO BOX 942879, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 94279-0064
916 445-4982 • FAX 916 323-8765
www.boe.ca.gov

BETTY 1. YEE Acting Member First District, San Francisco

BILL LEONARD Second District, Sacramento/Ontario

CLAUDE PARRISH Third District, Long Beach

JOHN CHIANG Fourth District, Los Angeles

STEVE WESTLY State Controller, Sacramento

> RAMON J. HIRSIG Executive Directo No. 2006/061

December 29, 2006

TO COUNTY ASSESSORS:

ASSESSORS' HANDBOOK SECTION 581, EOUIPMENT INDEX AND PERCENT GOOD FACTORS

CORRECTED CONSTRUCTION AND AGRICULTURAL EQUIPMENT PERCENT GOOD FACTORS

Letter To Assessors 2006/050 distributed the annual revision of Assessors' Handbook Section 581, *Equipment Index and Percent Good Factors*, which contained several factor tables for valuation use on the 2007 lien date. The table for the Construction Mobile Equipment Percent Good Factors (Table 5) and the table for Agricultural Mobile Equipment Percent Good Factors (Table 6) both contain errors in the year acquired column. The years affected were 1991 and earlier years.

Enclosed are corrected tables for use for the 2007 lien date. Please remove pages 19-20 and 21-22 and insert the enclosed pages into your handbook. The corrected tables have been inserted into the January 2007 Assessors' Handbook Section 581 posted to the Board's Web site at http://www.boe.ca.gov/proptaxes/prioryrs.htm.

We apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Ms. Sherrie Kinkle at 916-322-2921 or at sherrie.kinkle@boe.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

/s/Dean R. Kinnee for

David J. Gau Deputy Director Property and Special Taxes Department

DJG:lf Enclosures

TABLE 4: MACHINERY AND EQUIPMENT PERCENT GOOD FACTORS

INDIVIDUAL PROPERTIES--AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE 6.25% Rate of Return

									0.	-0 /	U 111	ite (<i>,</i> , ,,	ctui										
Year																								Year
Acq'd	AGE	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	17	18	20	22	25	30	35	40	AGE	Acq'd
2006	1	67	75	81	84	87	89	90	92	93	93	94	95	95	96	96	97	97	98	98	99	99	1	2006
2005	2	37	52	62	69	74	78									92							2	2005
2004	3	16		44	54	61	66	71	74	77	80	82	83	85	87	88	90	92	93	95	96	97	3	2004
2003	4	6	17	29	40	48	55	61	65	69	72	75	77	80	83	84	87	89	91	93	95	96	4	2003
2002	5		8	17	27	37	45	51	57	61	65	69	71	74	78	80	83	85	88	92	94	95	5	2002
2001	6		3	10	18	26	35	42	48	53	58	62	65	69	74	76	79	82	86	90	92	94	6	2001
2000	7			5	11	18	26									71							7	2000
1999	8			1	7	12	19									67							8	1999
1998	9				3	8	13	19	26	32						62							9	1998
1997	10					4	10	14	20	25						58							10	1997
1996	11					1	6	11	15	21						53							11	1996
1995	12						3	8	12	16						48							12	1995
1994	13							4	9	13						44							13	1994
1993	14							2	6	10						39							14	1993
1992	15								3	7	11					35							15	1992
1991	16								1	5	8	_	15			31							16	1991
1990	17									2	6	9	12			28							17	1990
1989	18										4	7	10			24							18	1989
1988	19										1	5	8			21							19	1988
1987	20											2	6	9		18							20	1987
1986	21												4	7	13		23						21	1986
1985	22												2	5	11		20						22	1985
1984	23													3	9		18						23	1984
1983	24													1	7		16						24	1983
1982	25														5	8			28				25	1982
1981	26														3	6	10		26 23				26	1981
1980	27 28														1	5 2	10 9	13			52 49		27 28	1980 1979
1979 1978	28 29															1	7		19				28 29	1979
1978	30															1	5		18				30	1978
1977	31																3	8			42		31	1977
1975	32																2	7			40		32	1975
1973	33																4	5			38		33	1973
1974	34																	3			36		34	1974
1973	35																	2			34		35	1973
1972	36																		8		31		36	1971
1970	37																		6		29		37	1970
1969	38																		5		28		38	1969
1968	39																		3		26		39	1968
1700	5)																			-0	-0	20		1700

NO MINIMUM PERCENT GOOD INTENDED

TABLE 5: CONSTRUCTION MOBILE EQUIPMENT PERCENT GOOD FACTORS

New Used Average* 2006 1 74 91 83 2005 2 66 81 74 2004 3 60 74 67 2003 4 55 68 62 2002 5 51 62 57 2001 6 47 58 53 2000 7 42 52 47 1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27 1993 14 22 27 25	Year Acquired	Age	CONSTRUCTION MOBILE EQUIPMENT							
2005 2 66 81 74 2004 3 60 74 67 2003 4 55 68 62 2002 5 51 62 57 2001 6 47 58 53 2000 7 42 52 47 1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27		ļ	New	Used	Average*					
2004 3 60 74 67 2003 4 55 68 62 2002 5 51 62 57 2001 6 47 58 53 2000 7 42 52 47 1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	2006	1	74	91	83					
2003 4 55 68 62 2002 5 51 62 57 2001 6 47 58 53 2000 7 42 52 47 1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	2005	2	66	81	74					
2002 5 51 62 57 2001 6 47 58 53 2000 7 42 52 47 1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	2004	3	60	74	67					
2001 6 47 58 53 2000 7 42 52 47 1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	2003	4	55	68	62					
2000 7 42 52 47 1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	2002	5	51	62	57					
1999 8 38 47 43 1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	2001	6	47	58	53					
1998 9 35 43 39 1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	2000	7	42	52	47					
1997 10 31 38 35 1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	1999	8	38	47	43					
1996 11 28 34 31 1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	1998	9	35	43	39					
1995 12 26 32 29 1994 13 24 29 27	1997	10	31	38	35					
1994 13 24 29 27	1996	11	28	34	31					
	1995	12	26	32	29					
1993 14 22 27 25	1994	13	24	29	27					
1, 22 2, 25	1993	14	22	27	25					
1992 15 20 25 23	1992	15	20	25	23					
1991 16 19 23 21	1991	16	19	23	21					
1990 17 16 20 18	1990	17	16	20	18					
1989 18 13 17 15	1989	18	13	17	15					
1988 19 12 13 13	1988	19	12	13	13					
1987 20 11 11 11	1987	20	11	11	11					
1986 21 9	1986	21		9						

NO MINIMUM PERCENT GOOD INTENDED

USE OF TABLE 5

The percent good table is designed to assist the appraiser in determining total loss of value once reproduction cost new (RCN) has been determined for the captioned equipment.

The table, derived from used equipment sales data, identifies a pattern of depreciation for construction mobile equipment. The column labeled "new" should be used to measure depreciation if the subject property was acquired new; conversely, the column labeled "used" should be applied when the equipment was purchased used. In cases where the taxpayer does not indicate if the property was first acquired new or first acquired used, Table 5 provides an average of the new and used factors. The "new" and "used" factors may not be averaged unless the taxpayer does not indicate if the property was first acquired new or first acquired used. ¹⁰

*Starting with the 2005 lien date, average factors were provided to reflect the provisions of section 401.16 as to use of average percent good factors.

¹⁰ Section 401.16.

Chapter 4

TABLE 6: AGRICULTURAL MOBILE EQUIPMENT PERCENT GOOD FACTORS

Year		AGRICULTURAL MOBILE EQUIPMENT						
Acquired	Age	EXCE	PT HARVE	ESTERS	I	HARVESTER	RS	Age
		New	Used	Average*	New	Used	Average*	
2006	1	78	92	85	74	90	82	1
2005	2	70	82	76	64	78	71	2
2004	3	64	75	70	57	69	63	3
2003	4	58	68	63	50	60	55	4
2002	5	52	62	57	43	53	48	5
2001	6	47	56	52	38	46	42	6
2000	7	42	50	46	33	40	37	7
1999	8	38	45	42	29	35	32	8
1998	9	34	40	37	25	30	28	9
1997	10	30	36	33	21	26	24	10
1996	11	27	32	30	19	23	21	11
1995	12	25	30	28	17	21	19	12
1994	13	23	28	26	15	18	17	13
1993	14	22	26	24		16		14
1992	15	20	23	22		14		15
1991	16	18	21	20		14		16
1990	17		19					17
1989	18		17					18

NO MINIMUM PERCENT GOOD INTENDED

USE OF TABLE 6

The percent good table is designed to assist the appraiser in determining total loss of value once reproduction cost new (RCN) has been determined for the captioned equipment.

The table, derived from used equipment sales data, identifies a pattern of depreciation for two groups of equipment. Within each group, three columns of percent good figures "new," "used," and "average" are listed. The column labeled "new" should be used to measure depreciation if the subject property was acquired new; conversely, the column labeled "used" should be applied when the equipment was purchased used. In cases where the taxpayer does not indicate if the property was first acquired new or first acquired used, Table 6 provides an average of the new and used factors. The "new" and "used" factors may not be averaged unless the taxpayer does not indicate if the property was first acquired new or first acquired used. ¹¹

*Starting with the 2005 lien date, average factors were provided to reflect the provisions of section 401.16 as to use of average percent good factors.

¹¹ Section 401.16.

TABLE 7: COMPUTER VALUATION FACTORS

Year Acquired	Age	PERSONAL COMPUTERS (\$25,000 or less)	MID-RANGE COMPUTERS (\$25,000.01 to \$500,000)	MAINFRAME COMPUTERS (\$500,000.01 or more)
2006	1	66	73	79
2005	2	39	47	54
2004	3	24	30	35
2003	4	15	19	22
2002	5	10	12	14
2001	6	6	8	9
2000	7	4	5	6
1999	8	2	3	4
1998	9	2	2	2

USE OF TABLE 7

Computer valuation tables were originally developed by the Board in 1995, and amended in 1997, by analyzing resale values of personal, mid-range, and mainframe computers as compared to original costs. ¹² These factors are intended to be applied directly to historical costs of non-production computers. Non-production computers are computers, including related equipment, designed for general business purposes. Non-production computers do not include computers embedded in machinery and do not include equipment or computers specifically designed for use in any other application directly related to manufacturing. No estimates of economic lives are stated or implied, since the tables were not derived by analyzing price indexes and economic life patterns.

¹² Prior to January 2000, computer valuation tables were distributed via Letter To Assessors. For more information regarding the original study and development of these factors, please refer to Letters To Assessors 97/18, 96/27, and 96/19.